

Surface freshwater storage and dynamics in the Amazon basin during the 2005 exceptional drought

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2012 Environ. Res. Lett. 7 044010

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-9326/7/4/044010>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 82.241.3.191

The article was downloaded on 18/10/2012 at 20:36

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Surface freshwater storage and dynamics in the Amazon basin during the 2005 exceptional drought

Frédéric Frappart^{1,2}, Fabrice Papa^{3,4}, Joecila Santos da Silva⁵,
Guillaume Ramillien^{1,2}, Catherine Prigent⁶, Frédérique Seyler⁷ and
Stéphane Calmant^{3,4}

¹ Université de Toulouse; UPS, OMP-GET (UPS); 14 Av. Edouard Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France

² Groupe de Recherche en Géodésie Spatiale, France

³ Université de Toulouse; UPS (OMP-PCA); LEGOS; 14 Av. Edouard Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France

⁴ IRD; LEGOS, F-31400 Toulouse, France

⁵ Universidade do Estado do Amazonas (UEA), Centro de Estudos do Trópico Úmido (CESTUAv),
Djalma Batista, 3578, Flores, Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil

⁶ CNRS-LERMA, Observatoire de Paris, Paris, France

⁷ UMR 228 ESPACE-DEV (IRD, UAG, UM2, UR), Montpellier, France

E-mail: frederic.frappart@get.obs-mip.fr

Received 20 August 2012

Accepted for publication 21 September 2012


Published 18 October 2012

Online at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010

Abstract

The Amazon river basin has been recently affected by extreme climatic events, such as the exceptional drought of 2005, with significant impacts on human activities and ecosystems. In spite of the importance of monitoring freshwater stored and moving in such large river basins, only scarce measurements of river stages and discharges are available and the signatures of extreme drought conditions on surface freshwater dynamics at the basin scale are still poorly known. Here we use continuous multisatellite observations of inundation extent and water levels between 2003 and 2007 to monitor monthly variations of surface water storage at the basin scale. During the 2005 drought, the amount of water stored in the river and floodplains of the Amazon basin was $\sim 130 \text{ km}^3$ ($\sim 70\%$) below its 2003–7 average. This represents almost a half of the anomaly of minimum terrestrial water stored in the basin as estimated using the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) data.


Keywords: surface water storage, multisatellite, floodplains

 Online supplementary data available from stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia

1. Introduction

The amount of water stored and moving through the floodplains and wetlands of large river basins plays a major role in the global water cycle and is a critical parameter for water resources management. Covering more than $300\,000 \text{ km}^2$ (i.e., 5% of the surface of the entire basin)

(Diegues 1994, Junk 1997), the Amazon extensive floodplains are particularly crucial to global climate and biodiversity, but they remain still poorly monitored at the large scale, limiting our understanding of their role in flood hazard, carbon production, sediment transport, nutrient exchange and air–land interactions. The droughts that affected large areas of this basin in recent years are among the most severe in the past centuries (Marengo *et al* 2008a), with the 2005 and 2010 events still considered as the most exceptional in the past 40 years. Mostly located in the Solimões, the Madeira, the Amazon rivers (figure 1(a)) and its southwestern tributaries

 Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 licence](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/). Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.




Figure 1. (a) Map of the Amazon basin with locations of altimetry stations (red points) and *in situ* discharge gauges (blue points). (b) Map of water level anomaly for 2005 (2003–7 reference period). (c) Interannual variations of surface water volume of the Amazon (black) and discharge at Obidos (dotted blue) between 2003 and 2007. (d) Annual cycle of surface water volume of the Amazon for 2005 (blue) and average (dotted black) \pm std (grey area).

(Marengo *et al* 2008b, Tomasella *et al* 2011), the 2005 drought indeed affected an extensive area of $1.9 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ for the dry season, to $2.5 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ considering the maximum climatological water deficit (MCWD), based on satellite-derived rainfall anomalies (Lewis *et al* 2011). The impact on the Amazon rainforest was strong, with several studies reporting an increase in tree mortality and loss of biomass (Philips *et al* 2009), peaks of forest fires and burning of biomass (Aragão *et al* 2007, Koren *et al* 2007, Bevan *et al* 2009), and highlighting its vulnerability to extreme drought conditions, with large potential impacts on regional

biogeochemical and carbon cycles (Philips *et al* 2009). During the low water stage season of 2005, *in situ* observations reported historic minima of river water levels, up to several meters below their mean (Marengo *et al* 2008a, Zeng *et al* 2008, Tomasella *et al* 2011) with important consequences also on human activities and economy.

Despite the advent of hydrology-oriented Earth observation satellite missions, the spatial and temporal dynamics of surface freshwater storage are still poorly known (Alsdorf and Lettenmaier 2003, Alsdorf *et al* 2007). So the signatures of extreme climatic events such the drought of 2005 on the

dynamics of surface freshwater volumes can only be inferred indirectly from satellite-based estimates of rainfall (Zeng *et al* 2008), from gridded measurements of rainfall (Marengo *et al* 2008a, 2008b) or from observations of integrated terrestrial water storage (TWS) variations as measured by the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) mission (Chen *et al* 2009). In spite of being the largest component of freshwater in the watershed on the seasonal time-scale, but also one of the major factors controlling surface processes and basin-wide hydrology, the surface freshwater stored in the Amazon is still not measured at proper space and time-scales, leaving major questions open: what is the seasonal amount of water in and out of the Amazon floodplain, its interannual variability and its behavior during exceptional drought events?

2. Methods

2.1. Maps of surface water levels

Maps of water levels over the floodplains of the Amazon Basin were obtained by combining observations from a multisatellite inundation dataset and altimetry-based water levels at monthly time-scales over 2003–7, where all the datasets overlap. Water levels, derived from ranges processed with the Ice-1 algorithm to obtain more accurate estimates (Frappart *et al* 2006), for 534 ENVISAT RA-2 altimetry stations (Santos da Silva *et al* 2012) were bilinearly interpolated over inundated surfaces estimated using multisatellite observations (Papa *et al* 2008, 2010, Prigent *et al* 2007, 2012). Each monthly map of surface water levels has a spatial resolution of 0.25° and is referenced to the EGM2008 geoid. The error on these estimates is lower than 10% (Frappart *et al* 2008, 2011a). A map of minimum water levels was estimated for the entire observation period using a hypsometric approach to take into account the difference of altitude between the river and the floodplain (see the supplementary information available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia).

2.2. Time series of water volume variations

At the basin scale, the time variations of surface water volume are simply computed as (Frappart *et al* 2011a):

$$V_{\text{SW}}(t) = R_e^2 \sum_{j \in S} P(\lambda_j, \varphi_j, t) (h(\lambda_j, \varphi_j, t) - h_{\min}(\lambda_j, \varphi_j, P(\lambda_j, \varphi_j, t))) \cos(\varphi_j) \Delta\lambda \Delta\varphi \quad (1)$$

where V_{SW} is the volume of surface water, R_e the radius of the Earth (6378 km); $P(\lambda_j, \varphi_j, t)$, $h(\lambda_j, \varphi_j, t)$ and $h_{\min}(\lambda_j, \varphi_j)$ are respectively the percentage of inundation, the water level at time t and the minimum of water level of the pixel of coordinates (λ_j, φ_j) ; $\Delta\lambda$ and $\Delta\varphi$ are the grid steps in longitude and latitude, respectively. This minimum of water level is estimated through a hypsometric approach relating the percentage of inundation of a pixel to its elevation (see the supplementary information available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia for more details).

Accordingly, the time variations of volume of TWS anomalies from Level-2 GRACE solutions filtered using an independent component analysis (ICA) approach (Frappart *et al* 2010b, 2011b) are computed following Ramillien *et al* (2005):

$$\Delta V_{\text{TWS}}(t) = R_e^2 \sum_{j \in S} \Delta h_{\text{tot}}(\lambda_j, \varphi_j, t) \cos(\varphi_j) \Delta\lambda \Delta\varphi \quad (2)$$

where $h_{\text{tot}}(\lambda_j, \varphi_j, t)$ is the anomaly of TWS at time t of the pixel of coordinates (λ_j, φ_j) .

3. Results

For the very first time, a continuous mapping of surface water levels and surface water volumes, as well as their temporal dynamics at interannual time-scale, are presented for the Amazon river, the largest drainage basin on Earth. First, monthly surface water level maps are obtained by combining multisatellite-based wetland maps (Papa *et al* 2010, Prigent *et al* 2007, 2012) with 534 altimetry-derived water levels in the Amazon basin (Santos da Silva *et al* 2012) (see the location of ENVISAT RA-2 altimetry stations in figure 1(a) over the period 2003–7 at the monthly time-scale (see figure 1(b), figure 2 and figure S2 (available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia), or Frappart *et al* (2008, 2010a, 2011a) for more details). Focusing on the signature of the 2005 drought on Amazon surface water, the map of anomaly of minimum water levels for 2005 (figure 1(b)) shows that the whole wetland complex of the Central Amazon exhibits large negative values, with the greatest anomalies registered for the Purus (64.9°–61°W and 2°–4.5°S), Madeira (between 55.67°–59.9°W and 1.25°–5.25°S), and Mamiraua (between 64.67°–67.4°W and 1.4°–3.1°S) wetlands. The large wetland complexes of Abanico on the Pastaza river in Peru (between 74°–76.8°W and 3°–5°S), and Llanos de Mojos in Bolivia (between 63°–69°W and 11°–16°S) are also strongly affected in comparison to the northern part of the basin. These minima derived from radar altimetry are consistent with anomalies (computed on longer time periods) of levels estimated from *in situ* gauge records: –2.4 m at Tabatinga (69.9°W, 4.25°S) (Zeng *et al* 2008), –4.8 m in Iquitos (72.28°W, 3.43°S), between two and five meters on several locations along the Amazonas (Peru) and its major tributaries, and along the Solimões and its southern tributaries, –4 m at Manaus (60.04°W, 3.15°S) at the mouth of the Negro river (Marengo *et al* 2008a).

Second, surface water volume variations for the Amazon river are also estimated using surface water level maps (see figure 1(b), figure 2 and figure S2 (available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia), or Frappart *et al* (2008, 2010a, 2011a) for more details). The time series of surface water volume over 2003–7 for the Amazon basin was decomposed into interannual (figure 1(c)) and annual (represented for 2005 in figure 1(d)) terms using a 13-month sliding average and compared to river discharge for the whole Amazon basin. The surface water volume leads the interannual variations of the river discharge in




Figure 2. Maps of anomaly of rainfall (mm) for May–June, July–August and September–October 2005 (top), surface water level (m) for July–August, September–October and November–December 2005 (center), and TWS (mm) for July–August, September–October and November–December 2005 (bottom).

Obidos (55.68°W, 1.92°S), the last station along the Amazon mainstem where discharge is estimated (data obtained from Environmental Research Observatory (ORE) HYBAM (see the supplementary information available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia)), ($R = 0.93$ with R the linear correlation coefficient for a time-lag of one month). The reduction of rainfall over Southern Amazonia since 2002 (Marengo *et al* 2008a) caused a decrease of the water stored in the floodplains up to the minimum of 2005, also observed on streamflow (Zeng *et al* 2008). The annual cycle of surface water storage for 2005 was close to or above the mean from February to June 2005, peaking in May with a value around $+\sigma$ (one standard deviation or STD). Then, it dropped significantly below the mean (values lower than $-\sigma$) from July to December (figure 1(d)). These results are also in good agreement with what was observed on river discharge in Obidos (Tomasella *et al* 2011).

This unique opportunity to monitor the changes of water level all along the hydrological cycle at monthly time-scales is illustrated in figure 2 (along with figure S2 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia) for the drought of 2005. The anomalies of surface water levels averaged over two consecutive months during 2005 are compared with bi-monthly anomalies of rainfall from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM, see the supplementary information available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia) (with an advance of two months) and TWS from GRACE (figure 2 for the dry season, from July to December, and figure S2 (available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia) for the wet season, from January to July). Rain deficits (upper panel) in the northern and western part of the basin in the heart of the rainy season (May–June), are responsible for anomalously low levels in the wetlands of the central corridor of the Amazon two months later

(September–October), in good accordance with the TWS observations (lower panel). The spatial and temporal patterns in the anomalies of surface water (center panel) are consistent with both *in situ* measurements of water levels and discharges and satellite-derived observations of TWS (lower panel). For instance, in the central part of the Amazon (from Manacapuru (60.61°W, 3.31°S) to Obidos), the surface water maps present levels close to or above the mean until May–June 2005 (figure S2 available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia) that then started to drop until a minimum in September–October 2005 (figure 2) is reached, similarly to what was recorded by gauges (Tomasella *et al* 2011). In the Madeira basin, the water levels between 10°S and 5°S were close to the mean until March–April 2005, and then below, with a minimum in September close to 5°S as observed in Fazenda Vista Alegre (60.03°W, −4.90°S). In the Negro basin, an important contrast is observed between the upper (above the mean over the whole period) and the lower (above normal until June 2005 and then below the mean of several meters after July–August 2005) parts of the basin. These results are also in good agreement with what was observed at the gauges of Manaus (60.04°W, 3.15°S) and Serrinha (64.88°W, 0.48°N) (Marengo *et al* 2008a, Tomasella *et al* 2011). The lack of backwater effect (i.e., the control of the water levels in the lower Negro by the stages of the Solimões (Meade *et al* 1991, Filizola *et al* 2009)) is clearly visible in September–October 2005, with anomalies of minimum surface water reaching −3 m close to the mouth of the Negro river. These minima are not caused by a deficit of rainfall but can be related to below normal water levels in the southwestern tributaries of the Solimões (Tomasella *et al* 2011). These maps of surface water levels permit us to spatialize and quantify the water deficit between Serrinha and Manaus, confirming what has been coarsely detected by GRACE (Chen *et al* 2009 and figure 2 lower panel).

Time variations of surface water volume over 2003–7 were analyzed in the major western and southern tributaries of the Amazon. The most important contributions come from the Solimões and the Madeira basins (~30% and ~25% respectively) whereas the contribution from the Tapajos represents less than 6% of the water stored in the surface reservoir of the Amazon basin. The interannual variations of surface water generally precede the interannual variations of discharge by one month in the Solimões ($R = 0.94$) and Madeira ($R = 0.84$) basins (figures 3(a) and (c)). Good, but lower agreement can be observed between interannual variations of surface water storage and discharge for the Tapajos ($R = 0.71$, $\Delta t = 0$, where Δt is the time shift between the two time series to be compared, figure 3(e)). The discharge values for the four stations were obtained from ORE HYBAM (see the supplementary information available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/7/044010/mmedia). These differences in time shift are consistent with what we know about the dynamics of surface water in these sub-basins. The white waters (turbid with large amount of dissolved organic carbon) originating from the Andes loaded with sediments during their stay in the extensive floodplains distributed along the Solimões and most of the tributaries forming the Madeira have a longer

residence time in the basin than the clear waters (transparent and containing low content of dissolved organic carbon) of the Tapajos descending from the Brazilian shield through numerous waterfalls and rapids. The analysis of the 2005 annual cycle also reveals differences among these sub-basins. The volume of surface water in the Solimões basin was close to the mean or above during the rising period, peaking at a value greater than $+\sigma$ in May, then declined rapidly, with a minimum reached below $+\sigma$ in October (figure 3(b)). Similar behavior is found in the Tapajos (with a peak reached in April, one month earlier than usual, figure 3(f)). Most of surface waters in the Tapajos are located in the large estuary formed by its encounter with the Amazon. At its mouth, its level is controlled by the stage of the Amazon. This can account for the similar temporal pattern found in the Tapajos and Solimões 2005 annual cycle for surface waters. The lower agreement with discharge ($R = 0.71$) at the interannual time-scale is more likely caused by differences of the hydrological regime between the upper and lower parts of the Tapajos (figure 3(f)). In contrast, the volume of surface water in the Madeira basin was below the mean until May, and then close to the mean (figure 3(d)). These results are consistent with what was observed at *in situ* gauges (Marengo *et al* 2008a, Tomasella *et al* 2011).

The impact of the 2005 drought was quantified for the surface water storage and the TWS for the whole Amazon basin (respectively 129 and 245 km³ below the 2003–7 average), and for the three sub-basins mentioned above for which different hydrological behaviors are observed during the 2005 drought (table 1). The minimum volume of water stored in the Amazon was by 71% lower for the surface reservoir, under the assumption that the storage below the minimum water level can be neglected, compared to the average during 2003–7, and by 29% for the total hydrological reservoirs. If the 2005 drought strongly affected the four different western and southern tributaries, its impact on TWS also differs from one to another, giving us information on the importance of the surface reservoir in the Amazon basin. Notice that surface water storage and TWS were much more affected by drought in the Solimões basin than in the three other tributaries. This coincides with the areas of largest anomalies of MCWD and increase in tree mortality (Aragão *et al* 2007, Lewis *et al* 2011), and with regions with important fire activity in 2005 (Koren *et al* 2007).

4. Discussion and conclusion

Our results provide the first interannual estimates of the variations of surface water storage in a large basin at monthly time-scale. They reveal that, during 2003–7, the variations of surface water reservoir vary from 800 to 1000 km³ per year, which represents 15%–20% of the water volume that flowed out of the Amazon basin and about half of the variations of the total amount of water in the Amazon basin as detected using GRACE data. This result is 3–4 times greater than what was found by a previous study solving the water balance equation with gravimetric and imaging satellite methods (i.e., GRACE, SRTM, GPCP and JERS-1) for six GRACE gridcells




Figure 3. Interannual variations of surface water volume (black) and discharge (dotted blue) between 2003 and 2007 (left) and annual cycle of surface water volume of the Amazon (blue) and average (dotted black) \pm std (gray area) (right) at (a) Manacapuru (Solimões), (b) Fazenda Vista Alegre (Madeira), (c) Itaituba (Tapajos).

Table 1. Anomaly of minimum of water volume in 2005 (2003–7 reference period) for the Amazon and some of its tributaries (km³ and %).

2005 Anomaly of minimum of water volume	Surface water storage ^a		Total water storage	
	(km ³)	(%)	(km ³)	(%)
Amazon	-129.4	-71.0	-244.6	-29.1
Solimões	-36.7	-85.8	-78.3	-40.0
Madeira	-11.5	-70.1	-17.9	-17.6
Tapajos	-3.6	-66.7	-47.7	-20.7

^a It is assumed that the storage below the minimum water level can be neglected compared to the surface water storage estimated with our methodology.

of 330 km of spatial resolution encompassing the floodplains along the Amazon mainstem (Alsdorf *et al* 2010). The major reason for this discrepancy must come from the leakage from other regions, due to the spherical harmonics representation of the GRACE data, which contaminate the signal at the GRACE gridcell resolution. Our estimates agree well with (i) analysis of GRACE data and GLDAS/NOAH outputs which

show that the TWS is equally partitioned between surface and sub-surface reservoirs and soil water (Han *et al* 2009), and (ii) modeling results from ensemble hydrological simulations with river routing which found that surface water and shallow groundwater represents 73% of the TWS in the Amazon basin (Kim *et al* 2009). In addition, the method presented here to derive water levels from multisatellite datasets over rivers and floodplains offers the first opportunity to continuously monitor mass transport in the surface water reservoir before the launch of the NASA-CNES Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) mission in 2019. It makes it possible to study the changes affecting the hydrological cycle in large river basins covered with floodplains. It also helps better understand the complex dynamics of surface water in large drainage basins (i.e., backwater effects, the Amazon flood-pulse linked to the strong seasonality of the rainfall or time residence of water in the floodplains).

The surface water level maps give unique and valuable spatial information on the time evolution of floodplain reservoirs during the hydrological cycle in response to rainfall forcing caused by interannual and longterm variability of both the tropical Pacific and northern Atlantic tropical oceans.

They permit one to directly identify the regions most severely affected by exceptionally low stages during the extreme drought of 2005 (the volume of surface water in the Amazon basin during the 2005 low stage period was 71% below its 2003–7 average according to our results). The estimated spatial and temporal patterns of surface water storage are in good agreement with *in situ* gauge records, satellite-derived hydrological variables, and ecological parameters. Removed from GRACE-derived TWS, they will permit a direct estimate of the soil water and groundwater storages in the Amazon basin.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the CNES TOSCA grant ‘Variability of terrestrial freshwater storage in the Tropics from multisatellite observations’. The authors would like to thank the Centre de Topographie des Océans et de l’Hydrosphère (CTOH) at Laboratoire d’Etudes en Géophysique et Oceanographie Spatiales (LEGOS), Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées (OMP), Toulouse, France, for the provision of the ENVISAT RA-2 GDR dataset, and Dr Filipe Aires and Dr William Rossow for their helpful comments.

References

- Alsdorf D, Han S-C, Bates P and Melack J 2010 Seasonal water storage on the Amazon floodplain measured from satellites *Remote Sens. Environ.* **114** 2448–56
- Alsdorf D E and Lettenmaier D P 2003 Tracking fresh water from space *Science* **301** 1491–4
- Alsdorf D E, Rodríguez E and Lettenmaier D P 2007 Measuring surface water from space *Rev. Geophys.* **45** RG2002
- Aragão L E O, Malhi C Y, Roman-Cuesta R M, Saatchi S, Anderson L O and Shimabukuro Y E 2007 Spatial patterns and fire response of recent Amazonian droughts *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34** L07701
- Bevan S L, North P R J, Grey W M F, Los S O and Plummer S E 2009 Impact of atmospheric aerosol from biomass burning on Amazon dry-season drought *J. Geophys. Res.* **114** D09204
- Chen J L, Wilson C R, Tapley B D, Yang Z L and Niu G Y 2009 The 2005 drought event in the Amazon river basin as measured by GRACE and climate models *J. Geophys. Res.* **114** B05404
- Diegues A C S (ed) 1994 *An Inventory of Brazilian Wetlands* (Gland: International Union for Conservation of Nature) p 224
- Filizola N, Spínola N, Arruda W, Seyler F, Calmant S and da Silva J S 2009 *River, Coastal and Estuarine Morphodynamics—RCEM 2009* ed C Vionnet, M H García, E M Latrubesse and G M E Perillo (London: Taylor and Francis) pp 1003–6
- Frappart F, Calmant S, Cauhopé M, Seyler F and Cazenave A 2006 Preliminary results of ENVISAT RA-2 derived water levels validation over the Amazon basin *Remote Sens. Environ.* **100** 252–64
- Frappart F, Papa F, Famiglietti J S, Prigent C, Rossow W B and Seyler F 2008 Interannual variations of river water storage from a multiple satellite approach: a case study for the Rio Negro river basin *J. Geophys. Res.* **113** D21104
- Frappart F, Papa F, Güntner A, Werth S, Ramillien G, Prigent C, Rossow W B and Bonnet M-P 2010a Interannual variations of the terrestrial water storage in the Lower Ob’ basin from a multisatellite approach *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **14** 2443–53
- Frappart F, Ramillien G, Maisongrande P and Bonnet M-P 2010b Denoising satellite gravity signals by Independent Component Analysis *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* **7** 421–5
- Frappart F et al 2011a Satellite-based estimates of groundwater storage variations in large drainage basins with extensive floodplains *Remote Sens. Environ.* **115** 1588–94
- Frappart F, Ramillien G, Leblanc M, Tweed S O, Bonnet M-P and Maisongrande P 2011b An independent component analysis approach for filtering continental hydrology in the GRACE gravity data *Remote Sens. Environ.* **115** 187–204
- Han S-C, Kim H, Yeo I-Y, Yeh P, Oki T, Seo K-W, Alsdorf D and Luthcke S B 2009 Dynamics of surface water storage in the Amazon inferred from measurements of inter-satellite distance change *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **36** L09403
- Junk W J 1997 *The Central Amazon Floodplain: Ecology of a Pulsing System* ed W J Junk (Berlin: Springer) pp 3–20
- Kim H, Yeh P J-F, Oki T and Kanae S 2009 Role of rivers in the seasonal variations of terrestrial water storage over global basin *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **36** L17402
- Koren I, Remer L A and Longo K 2007 Reversal of trend of biomass burning in the Amazon *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34** L20404
- Lewis S L, Brando P M, Phillips O L, van der Heijden M F and Nepstad D 2011 The 2010 Amazon drought *Science* **331** 554
- Marengo J A, Nobre C A, Tomasella J, Cardoso M F and Oyama M D 2008a Hydroclimatic and ecological behaviour of the drought of Amazonia in 2005 *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **363** 1773–8
- Marengo J A, Nobre C A, Tomasella J, Oyama M D, Oliveira G S, de Oliveira R, Camargo H, Alves L M and Brown I F 2008b The drought of Amazonia in 2005 *J. Clim.* **21** 495–516
- Meade R H, Rayol J M, Conceição S C and Navidade J R G 1991 Backwater effects in the Amazon basin of Brazil *Environ. Geol. Water Sci.* **18** 105–14
- Papa F, Güntner A, Frappart F, Prigent C and Rossow W B 2008 Variations of surface water extent and water storage in large river basins: a comparison of different global data sources *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **35** L11401
- Papa F, Prigent C, Aires F, Jiménez C, Rossow W B and Matthews E 2010 Interannual variability of surface water extent at global scale *J. Geophys. Res.* **115** D12111
- Phillips O L et al 2009 Drought sensitivity of the Amazon rainforest *Science* **323** 1344–7
- Prigent C, Papa F, Aires F, Jiménez C, Rossow W B and Matthews E 2012 Changes in land surface water dynamics since the 1990s and relation to population pressure *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **39** L08403
- Prigent C, Papa F, Aires F, Rossow W B and Matthews E 2007 Global inundation dynamics inferred from multiple satellite observations, 1993–2000 *J. Geophys. Res.* **112** D12107
- Ramillien G, Frappart F, Cazenave A and Güntner A 2005 Time variations of land water storage from the inversion of 2-years of GRACE geoids *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **235** 283–301
- Santos da Silva J, Seyler F, Calmant S, Corrêa Rotuno Filho O, Roux E, Magalhaes A A and Guyot J-L 2012 Water level dynamics of Amazon wetlands at the watershed scale by satellite altimetry *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **33** 3323–53
- Tomasella J, Borma L S, Marengo J A, Rodríguez D A, Cuartas L A, Nobre C A and Prado M C R 2011 The droughts of 1996–97 and 2004–5 in Amazonia: hydrological response in the river main-stem *Hydrol. Process.* **25** 1228–42
- Zeng N, Yoon J, Marengo J, Subramaniam A, Nobre C, Mariotti A and Neelin J D 2008 Causes and impacts of the 2005 Amazon drought *Environ. Res. Lett.* **3** 014002